

# Quantum Mechanics

Professor Alexey Petrov

29 September 2005



# Chapter 1

## Physical Principles of Quantum Mechanics

### 1.1 Classical Description

[there would be a table]

### 1.2 Particle-wave Duality

Rutherford's atom: electron orbits proton.

$$d = 10^{-8} \text{ cm} \quad E_{tot} = \frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{e^2}{r}; \quad \frac{v}{c} = 10^{-2}, \quad (1.1)$$

i.e. electron is nonrelativistic. Since  $e^-$  moves around  $p$ :  $e^-$  is always accelerating,  $a = v^2/r$ , i.e. radiates energy! Recall Lamure formula,

$$-\frac{dE_{tot}}{dt} = P(a) = \frac{2e^2 a^2}{3c^3} \quad (1.2)$$

Now, second Newton's law gives

$$F_C = m \frac{v^2}{r}, \text{ or } mv^2 = \frac{e^2}{r}$$
$$E_{tot} = \frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{e^2}{r} = \frac{e^2}{2r} \quad (1.3)$$

Plugging it into Eq. (1.2) obtain that since total energy is radiated off,  $e^-$  falls onto the nucleus in about  $\tau = \underline{10^{-8} \text{ seconds}}$

Obviously, this does not happen!!!

N. Bohr (1913) assumed the following:

1. allowed orbits are only those with:

$$mvr = n \frac{h}{2\pi} = nt$$

No radiation from allowed orbits.

2. Transitions between allowed orbits:

$\Delta E = hv \leftarrow$  photons, quanta particles of light

How is it possible?

De Broglie: Light can behave as particles

1927 Thus, Particles have wave properties

$$\begin{array}{l} E = hv = \frac{hc}{\lambda} \\ E = pc \end{array} \quad \boxed{\lambda = \frac{h}{p}} \quad \text{or} \quad \boxed{\lambda = \frac{h}{p}}$$

Must devise experiments that probe wave nature of matter, diffraction in interference

Clinton J. Davidson and Lester H. Germer (1927) and G.P. Thomson

### 1.3 Davisson - Germer Experiment: Electron Diffraction

Theory: Accelerate electrons to P:

$$\lambda = \frac{h}{P} = \frac{h}{mv} = \frac{h}{\sqrt{2mcv}} \quad (1.4)$$

### 1.3. DAVISSON - GERMER EXPERIMENT: ELECTRON DIFFRACTION 5

for  $v = 54v$ :

$$\lambda_{th.} = 1.64 \text{ \AA}$$

Experiment: Waves: use Bragg's Law:

$$n\lambda = d \sin \theta$$

$$d_{nickel} = \underline{2.15 \text{ \AA}} \sim \underline{0.092 \text{ nm}} \sim \underline{10^{-8} \text{ cm}}$$

$$\text{Thus, } \lambda_{exp} = \frac{d \sin \theta}{n} \sim \frac{2.15 \text{ \AA} \cdot \sin 50^\circ}{1} \sim \underline{1.65 \text{ \AA}}$$

Nobel Prize for de Broglie (1929) and Davisson and Thomson (1937)

Thus: depending on the "conditions" the microobject (electron) will demonstrate either particle or wave properties!

What kind of conditions?

How do we know the position of  $e^-$ ?

What is our "basic length scale"?

Basic Length Scales:

hydrogen atom:  $\Delta x \sim a_0 \sim 10^{-8} \text{ cm}$   
 $\lambda = \frac{h}{P} \sim 10^{-8} \text{ cm}$

D.-G. expt:  $\Delta x \sim d \sim 10^{-8}$   
 $\lambda = \frac{h}{\sqrt{2meV}} \sim 10^{-8} \text{ cm}$

$\Delta x \sim \lambda$  ← This is when  $e^-$  demonstrates wave-like properties

This is indeed so, as in Wilson chamber:

water droplets,  $r \sim r_{crit.} \sim 10^{-4} \text{ cm}$

$r_{crit.}$  : (internal pressure - grad p)  $\sim$  (surface forces)

$$\lambda = \frac{h}{P} = \frac{h}{\sqrt{2mE}} \sim 10^{-8} \text{ cm}$$

$E$ , kinetic Energy  $\geq 10 \text{ eV}$

Thus,  $\Delta x \gg \lambda$  ←  $e^-$  behaves as a "particle"  
 cannot use "trajectory" if object demonstrates wave properties.

Thus, formulate Uncertainty Principle:

---

It is impossible to specify simultaneously and precisely the values of certain pairs of physical variables used to describe a system. Those variables are canonically conjugated to each other in the hamilton sense.

---

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta p \Delta x &\geq \hbar \\ \Delta E \Delta t &\geq \hbar \\ \Delta \phi \Delta L_t &\geq \hbar\end{aligned}$$

## 1.4 Measurement and Probability in Quantum Mechanics

We talked about “measurement”, what exactly do we mean?

Consider a “gedanken” experiment:  
two-slit interference

Our detector, D, “measures” the signals when each electron hits it. Therefore, measuring “intensity” or “probability” for each electron to hit a given spot on a screen.  
From the Davis-Germ. experiment we know that we’ll get an interference pattern.

Lets try to explain it

If electrons are particles: each  $e^-$  goes either through slit (1) or slit (2)

Then: Probability to hit point x is  
$$P = P_{slit(1)} + P_{slit(2)}$$

#### 1.4. MEASUREMENT AND PROBABILITY IN QUANTUM MECHANICS 7

Interference pattern disappeared!!!! What happened?  $P \neq P_{slit(1)} + P_{slit(2)}$

Same situation would occur if we tried to “follow” each electron, for example, by counting electrons exiting each slit with laser impulses!

Thus,

- (1) Measurement affects quantum state!
- (2) Must find a new way of computing probabilities.

Thus, wave function :  $\frac{dw(q,t)}{dq} = |\Psi(q,t)|^2$

Reasons for introducing wave analogy,

i.e. amplitude of probability of finding a microobject in  $d\vec{q}$  at  $t$ ,

i.e. measure and interpret it as probability

Let's come back to wave functions in a second and continue our gedanken experiment.

Reason: Uncertainty principle limits accuracy of the measurement as canonically-conjugated pairs of variables, such as  $p$  and  $x$ . See how it manifests itself in this experiment.

Suppose we can measure transferred momentum,  $\Delta P$  from each interaction of  $e^-$  with a system of slits, i.e. employ a moving screen, B.

- We would also have to know its location,  $x$ .
- Maximum accuracy:  $\frac{d}{2}$ ,  $d$  is the distance between maximums of the interference curve.

Recall interference formula (the condition for bright fringes):

$$d \sin \theta = m\lambda, m = 0, \pm 1, \dots$$

$$\sin \theta \sim \frac{a}{e}$$

$$\text{Thus, } \frac{a}{e} = \frac{\lambda}{d}$$

If  $p$  is momentum of  $e^-$ , then :

after each slit each electron acquires a vertical component of momentum

$$\begin{aligned} \tan \theta_1 \text{ sim} \theta_1 &\sim \frac{p_{1y}}{p} \\ \tan \theta_2 \text{ sim} \theta_2 &\sim \frac{p_{2y}}{p} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, total momentum of screen B:

$$|\vec{p}_{1y} + \vec{p}_{2y}| \sim \Delta p \sim p|\theta_1 + \theta_2|$$

can show that  $|\theta_1 + \theta_2| \sim \frac{a}{e}$ ,  $\boxed{\frac{\Delta p}{p} = \frac{a}{e}}$  Thus,  $\frac{\Delta p}{p} = \frac{\lambda}{d}$ , but:  $p = \frac{h}{\lambda}$  (de Broglie)

Thus,  $\boxed{d = \frac{h}{\Delta p}}$ , but if you do measure and intercept disappeared

$$\text{then, } \Delta x \frac{d}{2}, \boxed{\Delta p \Delta x \frac{h}{2}}$$

Thus: have a particular case of uncertainty principle for  $\Delta p$  and  $\Delta x$ .

What does it mean for quantum motion and Newton's Laws?

2nd Newtonian Law:  $\vec{F}(x) = \frac{d\vec{p}}{dt}$  ?

gives trajectory ,  $x$  and  $p$

Must be scrapped?

Yes and No:

1<sup>st</sup> law (relativity principle) stands

2<sup>nd</sup> law (action = reaction) stands

Thus, will see that the 2<sup>nd</sup> law will remain valid in an average sense (Ehrenfest's Theorem).

## 1.5 The Wave Function: Wave Packets

Quantum mechanics contends that the wave function contains the maximum amount of information that nature allows (Copenhagen interpretation of Quantum Mechanics)

From our gedanken experiments we derive:

$$p = |\Psi|^2, \Psi = \Psi_1 + \Psi_2$$

$$\text{Thus, } p_{slit(1)} = |\Psi_1|^2, p_{slit(2)} = |\Psi_2|^2$$

Thus,  $p \neq p_{slit(1)} + p_{slit(2)}$ , intensity of waves that reached the detector and were interpreted as probability.

But what about trajectory? Will have to consider wave packets.

### Wave Packets

Consider the propagation of (de Broglie) matter waves in homogenous, isotropic medium:

$$\sim e^{i(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}-wt)}, \text{ where } \hbar w = E \text{ is the energy of a particle.}$$

$$\text{The phase velocity } S_{ph} = \frac{w}{k}.$$

The extent of this wave is infinite. In order to associate with a particle, one must build a superposition of plane waves of neighboring wave vectors which has a finite extent:

$$\Psi(\vec{r}, t) = \int A(\vec{k}') \exp[i(\vec{k}'\vec{r} - w't)] d^3\vec{u}' \quad (1.5)$$

Where  $A\vec{k}'$  has appreciable values for  $\vec{k}' \sim \vec{k}$  and “o” elsewhere

This is a wave packet. Notice that group velocity is the velocity of the region of maximum reinforcement in the interference of several waves of approximately the same  $\lambda$ . Therefore, it is the velocity of a packet and of the corresponding particles. By definition:  $v_{gr} = \frac{dw}{dk}$  and  $v_{part} = \frac{dE}{dp}$ ;

$$\implies \frac{de}{dk} = \frac{dE}{dp} = \hbar \frac{dw}{dp} \implies dp = \hbar dk \text{ or } \underline{\underline{p = \hbar k}}.$$

Aside: consider motion of a wave packet towards the observer:

$$E = \frac{(p_x)^2}{2m} \Rightarrow \Delta E = \frac{p_x \Delta p_x}{m} = v_x \Delta p_x$$

$$\Delta t = \frac{\Delta x}{v_x} \geq \frac{\hbar}{v_x \Delta p_x} = \frac{\hbar}{\Delta E} \implies \boxed{\Delta E \Delta t \geq \hbar}$$

General Properties of a wave function:

1.  $\Psi(\vec{q}, t)$  is a continuous, single-valued and bounded function of  $q$  and  $t$ .

2.  $\Psi(\vec{q}, t)$  is “normalizable” since our microobject is located somewhere:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\vec{q}_n |\Psi(q_n, t)|^2 = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for finite motion} \\ \infty, & \text{for infinite motion} \end{cases}$$

3. Phase is arbitrary,

$$\Psi \longrightarrow \Psi' = e^{i\alpha} \Psi, \text{ as } |\Psi|^2 = |\Psi'|^2$$

This is an example of gauge invariance.

Most importantly, wave functions satisfy superposition principle:

---

If quantum system (object) can be in a state described by  $\Psi_1(q_1, t)$  in which some physical quantity,  $f$ , can be measured to be  $f_1$  and in a state  $\Psi_2(q, t)$  in which  $f \longrightarrow f_2$ . Therefore, and linear combination  $\Psi = C_1 \Psi_1 + C_2 \Psi_2$ ,  $C_1, C_2$  It is possible and describes a state whose measurement will yeild either  $f_1$  or  $f_2$

---

This principle automactically implies that any differential equation that describes quantum motion must be linear

What is this equation?

## 1.6 Optical analogy: Quazi-classical wave function.

Recall Optics: for  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  : geometrical optics light rays.

1.6. OPTICAL ANALOGY: QUASI-CLASSICAL WAVE FUNCTION. 11

Note:  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  in the sense  $\frac{\lambda}{\Delta x} \ll 1!$

This is similar to what we discussed in quantum mechanics, limits of classical trajectories, etc.

Draw analogy from optics:

Recall:  $u(\vec{r}, t) = a' \exp(i(\underbrace{\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r} - \omega t}_{\text{large phase}})) = a' \exp(i(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda} - \omega t))$

goes to  $\infty$  if  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$

large phase

For waves that are not plane waves, but for which geometrical approxima-

tion still work:

$$u = ae^{i\phi}$$

$\phi$ , “eikonal”, large for  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ ; a, smooth function of r

estimate derivative of  $a(x_i)$ :

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial x_i} \sim \frac{\Delta a}{\Delta x_i} \sim \frac{a}{\Delta x_i}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial (x_i)^2} \sim \frac{a}{\Delta (x_i)^2}$$

Recall wave equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = 0$$

or

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2}; x_i = (\vec{r}, ict)$$

apply to  $(x)$ !!!

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\frac{\partial a}{\partial x_i} e^{i\phi} + ia \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} e^{i\phi}) =$$

$$(\frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial x_i^2} + 2i \frac{\partial a}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} + ia \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_i^2} - a (\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i})^2) e^{i\phi}$$

since  $\phi^2$  is “large” :  $a\phi^2 \gg (a, a\phi)$  thus  $(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i})^2 = 0$   $\leftarrow$  eikonal equation

$$\text{thus, } \underbrace{(\frac{\partial \phi_o}{\partial \vec{r}})^2}_{\text{}} = \frac{1}{c^2} \underbrace{(\frac{\partial \phi_o}{\partial t})^2}_{\text{}}$$

$$\frac{w^2}{c} \vec{n} = \vec{k} \quad \frac{w^2}{c^2} = k^2$$

$$\text{Thus, } \boxed{\frac{\partial \phi_o}{\partial \vec{r}} = \vec{k}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Write: } \quad \phi_o &= \int \frac{\partial \phi_o}{\partial \vec{r}} d^3 \vec{r} \\ \delta \phi_o &= \delta \int \frac{\partial \phi_o}{\partial \vec{r}} d^3 \vec{r} = \int d^3 r \delta \left( \frac{\partial \phi_o}{\partial \vec{r}} \right) = 0 \\ \text{Thus, } \quad &\boxed{\delta \phi_o = \delta \phi = 0} \end{aligned}$$

Fermat's principle of optics: A light ray takes a path which is stationary with respect to the optical path length.

Recall: Classical mechanics: Least action principle,

$$\boxed{\delta S = 0}$$

Since classical mechanics is a limit of wave mechanics (Quantum Mechanics), we can assure that:

$$\Phi_{q.c.} = ae^{i\phi}, \quad \phi \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{and} \quad S = \text{const.} \cdot \phi$$

This constant is called Planck's constant  $\hbar$ ! thus,  $S = \hbar\phi$  and  $\Psi_{q.c.} = ae!$

Note: since  $\Psi \longrightarrow \infty$  in "geometric limit",  $S \gg \hbar$  for classical objects.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Example: Moving car} \quad & m \simeq 1000 \text{ kg} \\ & v \simeq 10 \text{ m/s} \\ & \Delta t \simeq 10 \text{ s} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore, } S &= \int L dt = \frac{mv^2}{2} \Delta t = 0.5 \times 10^6 \text{ J} \cdot \text{s}! \quad \hbar = 1.05^{-36} \text{ J} \cdot \text{s}! \\ \text{Thus, } S &\gg \hbar! \end{aligned}$$

## 1.7 Correspondence Principle

Let's formulate correspondence principle

---

In quantum mechanics, each physical quantity corresponds to an operator whose action on  $\Psi_{qc}$  reduces to multiplication of  $\Psi_{qc}$  by a corresponding physical quantity.

$$L \longrightarrow \hat{L} \Psi_{qc} = L \Psi_{qc}$$


---